

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,155	06/19/2003	Victor C. Talavera	2788-CIP	8981
75	590 06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
DONN K. HARMS			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
Suite 100 12792 Via Cortina			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Del Mar, CA 92014			3724	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/600,155	TALAVERA, VICT	FOR C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR tafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue to the complex of the mail that the mail that the mail that the complex of the mail that the mail that the complex of the mail that the mail tha	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	January 2005.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		·	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,23,24,31 and 32</u> is/are rejecte	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,23,24,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-8, 10-22 and 25-30</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PT	(O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Catality (ppiloditor) (1-1	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/600,155

Art Unit: 3724

Detailed Action

The amendment filed on 1-18-2005 has been entered.

Objection to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1, lines 6-7 should be amended by adding, after "04/27/2001", --, now U.S. Patent No. 6,588,108--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Objection

Claims 1-22 and 26-31 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) In claim 1, line 31, "mechanical power from said motor" is incorrect. The phrase should read --electrical power from said motor--.
 - (2) In claim 11, line 1, "original" should read --Currently amended--.
 - (3) In claim 26, lines 10-11, "said hairs" should read --said hair--.
- (4) In claims 27, 28 and 29, lines 4-5, "an interior cavity" should read --said interior cavity--since it refers to the one previously cited.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/600,155 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Claims Rejection - Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 2, 9, 23, 24, 31 and 32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12, 13, 17 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,588,108. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they recite the same invention of substantially the same scope and merely differ in breadth of terminology used.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 3-8, 10-22 and 26-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and to overcome the objection as set forth.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,155 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

2. Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306

for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer June 15, 2005

Hwai-Siu Payar Primary Examinar

18-2 Payer